TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

SUPREME COURT OF VICTORIA

CIVIL JURISDICTION

SALE

MONDAY 1 MARCH 2010

BEFORE THE HONOURABLE JUSTICE OSBORN

BETWEEN

ENVIRONMENT EAST GIPPSLAND

Plaintiff

- and -

VICFORESTS Defendant

- MS D. MORTIMER SC with MR R.M. NIALL and MS P.C. KNOWLES appeared on behalf of the Plaintiff.
- MR I.G. WALLER SC with MR H.L. REDD appeared on behalf of the Defendant.

MERRILL LEGAL SOLUTIONS - CRS WORDWAVE PTY LTD

4/190 Queen Street, Melbourne. Telephone: 8628 5555

Facsimile: 9642 5185

- 1 MS MORTIMER: If it please the court I appear with my learned
- 2 friends Mr Niall and Ms Knowles on behalf of the
- 3 plaintiff.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Yes, Ms Mortimer.
- 5 MR WALLER: May it please the court I appear with my learned
- friend, Mr Redd, for the defendant.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Yes, Mr Waller.
- 8 MS MORTIMER: If Your Honour pleases, before I start the
- 9 opening on behalf of the plaintiff can I just indicate to
- 10 Your Honour how we have the court set up and a couple of
- issues about the proposed court setup for the trial.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 13 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour will see to Your Honour's right a
- screen. During my opening there will be a power point
- placed onto that screen with some photographs which will
- be proven during the evidence. I understand my learned
- friends' experts may use or witnesses may use that
- 18 screen.
- What we are proposing, Your Honour, if it's
- convenient and appropriate in Your Honour's view is when -
- Your Honour will see the size of the witness box.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 23 MS MORTIMER: When the witnesses are to give evidence,
- 24 particularly the experts, we were going to suggest that
- 25 they be given a seat and a small table in the dock so that
- there's actually some room for them. They are all working
- with folders, quite a lot of folders, and it may become
- unwieldy in the witness box.
- We have checked, Your Honour, with the transcript
- 30 operators and transcript is confident they can set that
- 31 space up if Your Honour thinks that's appropriate.

- 1 Perhaps that's just something I'll leave with Your Honour
- 2 to consider. Those of us at the Bar table, we have no
- difficulty with that, Your Honour.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Yes. We will look at that after the court rises.
- 5 But in principle that should be workable.
- 6 MS MORTIMER: If Your Honour pleases.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: I think that in any event we might want to rotate
- 8 the witness box so (indistinct).
- 9 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: I will look at that later.
- 11 MS MORTIMER: If Your Honour pleases. Your Honour, if I may
- 12 begin?
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 14 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, to an outsider, in our submission,
- it is tempting to characterise this case as a case about
- trees and trees alone and whether they should be cut down.
- In our submission, and it's part of the plaintiff's case,
- that would be to see this forest as really only what it
- 19 would become after logging, which is, in our submission, a
- kind of a farm maintained, established and cared for, for
- 21 the purpose of growing particular kinds of commercially
- valuable trees.
- Your Honour, the plaintiff's case will focus on
- seeing this forest as an eco system, as something that
- grows, lives, decays and regenerates on its own cycles for
- the general benefit of all the flora and fauna species who
- use and depend on it, and an eco system where the
- relationships between those flora and fauna to others
- within the eco system are complex and poorly understood.
- 30 So, although, Your Honour, it's true that in this
- 31 case Your Honour will hear a lot about trees, Your Honour

will hear more in the plaintiff's case about habitat as a broad concept and about the ecological functioning of species within that habitat.

The plaintiff's case, Your Honour, will be that the aim of the suite of legislative and regular tree protections which are in force in this state for the protection of and conservation of (indistinct) diversity and of threatened species, that that suite of regulation is not intended to turn tracts of forest into islands where there are isolated populations of species where inevitably those populations will lack the genetic diversity, the optimal breeding conditions and the habitat range to flourish and to recover.

Because, Your Honour, we are dealing in this case with species that are on a path to extinction. That is the reason they are listed. So, this is not a circumstance, in our submission, where one is dealing with the preservation of a robust species. One is dealing with a species that needs extra attention and whose populations need to be recovered to sustainable levels.

Your Honour, we submit the plaintiff will take the actual language of the suite of legislation and regulation and the actual language in that legislative scheme uses phrases such as "flourishing across their natural range guaranteeing the survival and flourishing of the species," "protecting high quality habitat," and "protecting the species themselves."

The plaintiff will rely on that language, Your Honour, and base its submission to Your Honour that that language means what it says. It is not aspirational and in the context in which it appears it is intended to be

L	enforceable. The plaintiff will then submit to Your
2	Honour that VicForests logging of Brown Mountain is
3	inconsistent and incompatible with the scheme of
1	protection that is established.

Your Honour, we do not dispute that native forest logging involves very different and frequently competing interests over state forests. But what we will point to, Your Honour, and what we will seek to demonstrate in this case is that in the case of the logging of old growth forest, which is habitat for many of the threatened species - well, for many threatened species, the resolution of this competition on the ground under its present administration by VicForests favours logging in a way that the legislative and (indistinct) tree scheme does not envisage and does not allow.

Your Honour, to give a brief outline of the parties to this case beginning with the plaintiff and the opening I'm giving now about the plaintiff, Your Honour, comes from the evidence of Ms Jill Redwood.

20 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

21 MS MORTIMER: Environment East Gippsland, Your Honour, began

22 its life in 1982 as an unincorporated association called

23 "Concerned Residents of East Gippsland." It was

24 incorporated in August 1991 as Environment East Gippsland.

25 So, Your Honour, it is an organisation longstanding in our

26 submission and an organisation which has a particular

27 focus on East Gippsland.

Its objects include promoting conservation values and environmental awareness about East Gippsland, promoting sustainability in environmental, economic and social terms, making representations to government about

land use and management and undertaking research that's relevant to those matters.

Environment East Gippsland, Your Honour, produces newsletters, it carries out surveys on state forests, it contributes articles to other publications and it makes contributions to media debates about forest issues. It runs ecology camps, Your Honour, the evidence will show at Brown Mountain so that the very area, Your Honour, with which this case is concerned is an area in which Environment East Gippsland engages in particular activities. It runs these camps, the evidence will show, Your Honour, that are attended by about 100 people with just as many people turned away, and it takes groups through a walk which covers both coupes 15 and 19 in this proceeding and in fact is some of the walk on which Your Honour will be taken on the view.

Environment East Gippsland, Your Honour, makes submissions to government both state and federal and the evidence will show often it is invited by government both state and federal to comment on relevant environmental issues.

Your Honour, it is not an organisation which is heavily funded by government, state or federal, but the evidence discloses it's received occasional and very modest pieces of funding over its lifetime. What it does in relation to pursue its objectives, Your Honour, it does on the basis of the contribution of its members, its fundraising and relies on its own resources.

Environment East Gippsland, Your Honour, has about 420 members and about 500 people on its email list which in other words is no mean feat for a small locally based

1	environment group and no mean feat to sustain that over a
2	period of 20 years. Its members, in our submission, Your
3	Honour, are passionate about and committed to the
4	preservation of their local forest environment and the way
5	in which they believe the regulatory structure in this
6	state intends and requires that environment to be
7	protected.

Your Honour, the defendant in this case, VicForests, was established in 2003 and prior to this, the Department of Natural Resources and Environment was responsible for logging in Victoria, and the history of this is traced in Professor Ferguson's report to be tendered on behalf of the defendant. No doubt my learned friend will open on that a little more, Your Honour. I propose just to take Your Honour in opening to the Order-in-Council which establishes VicForests and for that I need Your Honour to go to the agreed book of documents Vol.1, if Your Honour might, and fittingly, Your Honour, p.1.

19 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

- 20 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour will see there an Order-in-Council
- 21 dated 28 October 2003.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 23 MS MORTIMER: The Governor acting pursuant to s.14 of the State
- Owned Enterprises Act and establishes VicForests under
- Clause 3, and Your Honour will see in Clause 3 paragraph 2
- 26 that the purpose of establishing VicForests is to create a
- statutory body to undertake two things, the management of
- timber resources and State forests on a commercial basis
- and the sale of timber resources in Victorian State
- forests on a commercial basis.
- Its functions are then set out in the following

paragraph and the powers that it is given to perform its functions in the next paragraph. It is then required to act, if I might summarise paragraphs 5 to 7, on a commercial basis within Victorian Government policy.

Your Honour will see that it is an Authority that is governed by a board of directors and that is established in Clause 4 and there are a number of other provisions that I don't need to take Your Honour to.

If Your Honour goes to p.4 of the agreed documents

Your Honour will see by Clause 2 there that VicForests is

declared to be a State business corporation under s.17 of
the State-Owned Enterprises Act.

Your Honour, no doubt in this proceeding our learned friends might make something of the commercial focus of VicForests pursuant to its functions and purposes under this and it is no part of the plaintiff's case to quarrel with that, but our submission is that the operations that VicForests performs and the planning of those operations require the performance of a number of functions and duties that at times may directly bring into conflict some of its commercial aims but that is the nature of the resource, in our submission, that VicForests is given charge of. It is a community resource. It belongs to all the Victorian community and under this legislation VicForests are giving privileges on behalf of the State to exploit it, and that exploitation is in our submission regulated not only in ways that will advance the productivity and commercial interests of the products that come out of it but in ways that will balance the need to protect the very resource and the species that depend on that resource.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

- 1 HIS HONOUR: I see that 3.7 says that: "VicForests must operate in a framework consistent with Victorian Government policy 2 and priorities" so even though the preceding statement of 3 4 purpose and functions and the imperatives in sub-clauses 5 and 6 are commercial, there is nevertheless an 5 acknowledgement that those functions are to be carried out 6 in the context of a broader framework. 7 MS MORTIMER: Yes Your Honour. Even with a document which 8 9 creates it. That is so, in our submission.
- Now Your Honour the other persons that Your Honour 10 11 may encounter in this proceeding, if I might just give Your Honour a brief description of some of those. Firstly 12 13 there is the Department of Sustainability and Environment 14 and the Secretary to the Department of Sustainability and Environment, and that is an office which is created 15 16 pursuant to s.6 of the Conservation (Forests and Lands) 17 Act. May I ask Your Honour to turn up that legislation 18 for a moment.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- MS MORTIMER: Your Honour will see that by s.6(1) the Secretary to the department is to be a body corporate and Your

 Honour will see as we move through the legislative scheme that the Secretary to the department has a number of important roles in this scheme.

I will start again, Your Honour. May I ask Your

Honour to go to s.10. Section 10(1) recognises that the

functions the Secretary has to perform are to be found in

a variety of pieces of legislation, so rather than this

Act setting out the Secretary's functions, the scheme

recognises that they are to be picked up from various

pieces of legislation.

1	The Secretary has a broad power of delegation. May
2	I draw Your Honour's attention to s.11(2) which is the
3	delegation power of any of the Secretary's powers,
4	functions or duties conferred or imposed under this Act or
5	any other Act and Your Honour will see one of the
6	objections of the Delegation F is VicForests or an
7	employee of VicForests.

And Your Honour I should have just picked up the definition in s.10 of relevant law and just worked that through for Your Honour, and Your Honour will see s.10(1) the functions of the Secretary include functions conferred by relevant law, and in s.3 relevant law is defined by reference to in particular an Act specified in Schedule 1 p.83.

15 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

21

22

23

24

25

MS MORTIMER: And amongst the Acts listed there is the Flora
and Fauna Guarantee Act and the Forests Act and the
Sustainable Forests Timber Act (2004) and they are really
the three other pieces of legislation that are relevant in
this proceeding.

The next person that Your Honour will encounter through the evidence in this case is the Minister responsible for the environment. The minister has at least two important statutory roles and played a factual role in the context of this proceeding.

26 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

MS MORTIMER: The minister has obligations in relation to the
making of allocation orders, that is allocating timber
throughout the State of Victoria to VicForests, and I will
take Your Honour to that legislation later in a little
detail.

The minister has obligations under the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act, particularly in relation to listings of threatened species and the making of action statements. I withdraw that. I think that is the Secretary.

The minister has the obligation of making forests — the Forest Practices Code. In factual sense, Your Honour, the interest of the minister in this matter is revealed by — it is the fact that it was the minister who announced the immediate resumption of logging at Brown Mountain in August 2009, by media release, which was the trigger for this proceeding.

The last category of people that Your Honour is going to encounter in the evidence in terms of the role that they play in the harvesting process is of course the logging contractors and the harvesting process itself, Your Honour, is frequently if not always contracted out by VicForests to logging contactors and that will be apparent from many of the agreed documents in the evidence before Your Honour.

For Your Honour's purposes the role the contractors play is most likely to be raised in relation to the retention of trees in coupes because the evidence will show that the practice on the ground is to allow the logging contractors to select the so-called habitat trees to be retained in coupes.

26 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

27 MS MORTIMER: And that the logging contractors also select what
28 Your Honour will hear are called seed trees which are the
29 trees designated to assist the regeneration of a coop and
30 Your Honour will see from the evidence that on the ground
31 what happens is that trees are chosen to try and serve

- both purposes, that is a tree is chosen as both a seed
 tree and a habitat tree.
- Now Your Honour may I take Your Honour to some maps
 to try and explain the area in issue and ask Your Honour
 to go to the map book which is an agreed set of maps.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 7 MS MORTIMER: I want to take Your Honour first to Map 3 or the
- 8 map on p.3, the one that is headed East Gippsland FMA
- 9 Forest Management Zones Post November 2009.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 11 MS MORTIMER: And I will just point out a couple of perhaps
- really fairly obvious features from that, Your Honour.
- 13 Your Honour will see Brown Mountain towards the north of
- 14 the East Gippsland Forest Management Zone, and Orbost to
- the south west and Cann River to the south east and the
- parts in light pink and dark pink are the parts that are
- not available for harvesting, broadly, and the parts that
- are in green and are in yellow are available for
- 19 harvesting. The parts that are in yellow the evidence will
- show are subject to special prescriptions before they can
- 21 be harvested.
- Your Honour, may I ask Your Honour to go the map on
- 23 p.5. Your Honour will hear some evidence mostly from
- 24 VicForests about the way the forest is divided up. Your
- 25 Honour, reading the way the forest is divided up for
- logging is a little like reading a street directory. It
- will be our case and may not be the subject of any
- dispute, Your Honour, that it has very little or no
- 29 ecological or conservation function and it doesn't purport
- 30 to represent the division of habitat for species but it is
- 31 a means of dividing the forest up for harvesting and

1	harvest.	management	purposes.

2 HIS HONOUR: But it does appear to be by reference to natural

3 features.

2.7

4 MS MORTIMER: Yes Your Honour, I accept that, I accept that.

But Your Honour, whether that is for the convenience of the manner in which machinery can get in or not may not be anything that matters particularly much, Your Honour.

Your Honour will hear about the following divisions in forest management and I am going to start at the largest and go to the smallest so on this map Your Honour is looking at the East Gippsland Forest Management area. Your Honour, I have to confess that the statutory basis for the declaration of a forest management area is something that I am yet to discover. I am sure it is there somewhere but it is certainly the case that Victorian State Forest is managed on the basis of a broad division into forest management areas and for each of those forest management areas there is under the Forests Act, Your Honour, a power to make what is called under that Act "Working Plans" but what is now known as Management Plans so there is a management plan for each forest management area.

Moving down to the smaller divisions, Your Honour, within the East Gippsland Forest Management area, as we understand it the next division in size is something called a district which is not shown on this map, Your Honour, but when I take Your Honour to the Timber Release Plans Your Honour will see that the Timber Release Plans which are the plans that go over often a five year, about a five year period are based on divisions into forestry districts and it is through that division at that level of

- 1 particularity that VicForests nominates what timber
- 2 resources it wants to access from each district.
- 3 The relevant district, Your Honour, for this
- 4 proceeding is Bendoc.
- 5 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 6 MS MORTIMER: Below that, Your Honour, in terms of size are
- 7 forest blocks and that is what this map shows, Your
- 8 Honour, and the one that is highlighted in green in the
- 9 middle, 840 is the Brodribb Forest Block and Your Honour
- 10 will see from the numbers on this map that it is at that
- level that one starts to understand the coop numbering, so
- those first three numbers are the first three numbers of a
- 13 coop. Brodribb, I not pronouncing it right. It is
- 14 Brodribb.
- 15 Now Your Honour, this forest block within those
- sizes, there are further divisions into what are called
- 17 compartments and Your Honour will see that if Your Honour
- turns the page to the map on p.6.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 20 MS MORTIMER: Now we are looking only at the Brodribb Forest
- 21 Block and we are looking at the compartments within that
- so Your Honour will see that the Brown Mountain Forest
- Area is within compartment 502, and Your Honour that
- 24 explains the second segment of figures in the coop
- 25 numbers, 502.
- 26 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 27 MS MORTIMER: In terms of what within that compartment is
- available for logging, can I ask Your Honour to go to Map
- 8. I have skipped over the pre November 2009 version of
- 30 what is on Map 8. Perhaps just pausing there to explain
- 31 why there are those two sets of maps. Your Honour will see

1	at least twice in this bundle that there are pre November
2	2009 maps and post November 2009 maps. That is because
3	in November 2009 the State Government announced the
4	reservation of further forest in this area and that is
5	indicated on for example Map 8 by the dark pink, so if
6	Your Honour looks at the map on p.8 the darker pink areas,
7	and compares it - and 502, so the Brodribb Forest Block is
8	a good example, Your Honour.

9 HIS HONOUR: Yes I see.

2.7

10 MS MORTIMER: On p.7 it was basically green and then on p.8 a

11 lot of it has been reserved.

So if one looks at that map on p.8, Your Honour,
Your Honour can see that it is only the Brown Mountain
area and one other area within the Brodribb Forest Block
which remain available for logging.

Just pausing on that map for a moment. What Your Honour will see in the evidence in our submission, and what is critical to understand is that many of the reserved areas have been logged so looking at a map like this that shows lots of pink bits, lots of reserved bits doesn't necessarily tell the whole story about the quality of the habitat in that area for the species who are dependent on it. It certainly does tell you that that area is not supposed to be logged again but it doesn't tell you anything about the quality of the habitat that has been reserved.

What Map 8 shows, Your Honour, and it will be the plaintiff's case, is that Brown Mountain is now an island available for logging within otherwise reserved areas despite it having some of the last remaining old growth stands in this area and, despite these four coupes

providing high quality habitat for a number of threatened species.

Your Honour, the evidence will also show that Brown Mountain has been a very contentious area over a long period of time, perhaps due in large part to the activities of the plaintiff. But whatever the reason—and it will be our submission, Your Honour, that it doesn't matter, the plaintiff's case will be that there has been a stubborn refusal to recognise the conservation values of Brown Mountain and a refusal which is inconsistent and incompatible with the legislative and regulatory scheme.

13 Your Honour, I want to take you now on these maps 14 and, in particular, to the Brown Mountain coupes and just make the point that I've already made but perhaps in a 15 16 little bit more detail, Your Honour. The evidence will show that each of these coupes contains old growth forest 17 18 and there is a definition of "old growth forest" - there are many definitions, Your Honour, perhaps the most 19 convenient one to take Your Honour to is in the East 20 21 Gippsland Forest Management Plan and that is in Vol.1 of the agreed documents. 22

- 23 HIS HONOUR: Just before you do that, if I look at Plan 8 I see
- 24 that 15, 19, 26 and - -
- 25 MS MORTIMER: 27 that is, Your Honour.
- 26 HIS HONOUR: --27 are each part of the ground mountain TRP,
- is that right?

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

- 28 MS MORTIMER: Timber release plan, yes, Your Honour.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Are they the four coupes currently designated
- 30 under that plan?
- 31 MS MORTIMER: They are. Your Honour, they are the four coupes

- in this area so the timber release plan Your Honour will
- 2 see covers the whole of the East Gippsland forest
- 3 management area.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I understand.
- 5 MS MORTIMER: If Your Honour looks this will become a little
- 6 clearer, Your Honour, when we go to some of the other
- 7 maps, but that little triangle, Your Honour, that 26 is at
- 8 the head of and 27 is at the base of, the rest of it has
- 9 been logged.
- 10 HIS HONOUR: I see. Is there a - -
- 11 MS MORTIMER: There's a map that shows that, Your Honour, on
- 12 map 11.
- 13 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I see.
- 14 MS MORTIMER: I was about to take I can take Your Honour to
- 15 that now.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: No, that's all right. I was really also looking
- for just from something like map 12 to give me an idea
- of the topography of the triangle, as you call it, and
- 19 there's a ridge running north north west to south south
- east, is that right?
- 21 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: Is the peak - -
- 23 MS MORTIMER: The creek runs through the middle of between 15
- and 19, Your Honour.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: Of these tops, which one is named Brown Mountain
- or are they all?
- 27 MS MORTIMER: As we understand it, Your Honour, the peak is
- outside those coupes but I'll have that checked.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Yes, the peak is outside the coupes but the peak
- 30 is - -
- 31 MS MORTIMER: Which is the peak, is that Your Honour's

- 1 question?
- 2 HIS HONOUR: Yes, next to the label there and under "road", is
- 3 that right or not?
- 4 MS MORTIMER: I'm sorry, Your Honour. Next to the - -
- 5 HIS HONOUR: The red designation there and under "road".
- 6 MS MORTIMER: Yes.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Would seem to have the highest contour, is that
- 8 right?
- 9 MS MORTIMER: Yes. Your Honour, we'll find that out for Your
- Honour.
- 11 HIS HONOUR: That's the point from which rivers are flowing in
- four directions, so it looks like the high point, apart
- from the contour.
- 14 MS MORTIMER: To the west, Your Honour. There's a high point
- to the west, the west of Leggs Road there's a 960 there.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: I see. And is that Brown Mountain?
- 17 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: I understand.
- 19 MS MORTIMER: But Your Honour is perfectly correct. There are
- a number of ridges around these areas.
- 21 HIS HONOUR: No, but it's still helpful to me. The coupes are
- across and on either side of the watercourse flowing
- 23 north, effectively, is that right?
- 24 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: And that, in turn, is between a ridge running
- 26 north-south on the western side and generally north-south
- on the eastern side?
- 28 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Thank you.
- 30 MS MORTIMER: So that stream that runs through the middle is
- 31 Brown Mountain Creek and, Your Honour, the evidence will

- show, for example, that all these coupes in that sense are
- 2 catchments for that creek. I think Your Honour might hear
- in particular from Dr McCormack that 27 is perhaps an
- 4 important catchment for that creek.
- 5 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 6 MS MORTIMER: If Your Honour pleases. May I ask Your Honour
- 7 just to go back one map to map 11. This is the map, Your
- 8 Honour, that best demonstrates the logging history in this
- 9 compartment and Your Honour will see that much of the area
- 10 has been quite recently logged, that is in the last 20 to
- 30 years and one of the most recent coupes to be logged is
- 12 the coop to the south of coop 19, Your Honour, that is in
- the dark blue and Your Honour will see on some other maps
- that I'll take Your Honour to, shortly, that's called coop
- 15 20. That is a coop that for the plaintiff's case assumes
- some significance.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: Yes, because it demonstrates an after situation,
- is that right?
- 19 MS MORTIMER: Precisely, Your Honour. Your Honour, perhaps
- 20 I'll just rather than taking Your Honour to the
- 21 reference in the East Gippsland Forest Management Plan to
- "old growth", I'll just give Your Honour the reference.
- So, it's at the agreed documents at pp.403-404 of Vol.1.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: What is there?
- 25 MS MORTIMER: The definition of "old growth" because each of
- these coupes contain old growth forest.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: I might just look at that.
- 28 MS MORTIMER: Vol.1 p.403-404.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I have it.
- 30 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour will see a heading halfway down the
- 31 page defining "old growth forest" and a reference to a

- 1 number of people who developed a definition of the one in
- 2 italics: "Old growth forest is forest which contains
- 3 significant amounts ... (reads) ... the effect of which is
- 4 now negligible". So "negligible disturbance", Your
- 5 Honour. Because, of course, disturbance might be natural,
- 6 Your Honour, it might be by wild fire or whatever.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: It might have a road through it.
- 8 MS MORTIMER: It might have a road through it, yes.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: That wouldn't necessarily preclude the forest from
- being old growth forest on either side of the road.
- 11 MS MORTIMER: It wouldn't, Your Honour, that's so. It may have
- 12 a number of other consequences but it wouldn't preclude
- that classification, that's right, in our submission, Your
- Honour.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: I suppose it might depending on what damage the
- road had done but, in any event, yes, but enough of that,
- 17 I understand.
- 18 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, I want to go now to another map
- 19 which is not in the agreed book but was the map tendered
- on behalf of VicForests at the injunction application and
- which is a schedule to Forest J's judgment. May I hand up
- a copy of that to Your Honour, a colour version. There's
- a black and white version which isn't very helpful but
- that's a schedule.
- 25 HIS HONOUR: Yes, thank you.
- 26 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, this is somewhat of a close-up of
- 27 the area in question and may I just ask Your Honour to
- ignore the four references to "not an any current
- schedule" or "not scheduled". That was something that was
- relevant for the purposes of the injunction but the
- 31 evidence is, Your Honour, that coop 26 at the top and coop

27 at the bottom are on the timber release plan and the evidence will show, Your Honour, that as at August 2009
VicForests intended to harvest immediately 15 and 19 and had no immediate plans for 26 and 27 and that is why on the injunction application only 15 and 19 needed to be the subject of an injunction application.

Your Honour will see also on this map a reference up the top to a coop that was thinned in 2001 and 2002 and there will be some evidence about thinning and the plaintiff will rely, Your Honour, on that process as one of the subsequent disturbances and modifications that occurs once a forest has been logged. One of the reasons, Your Honour, that I wanted to go to this map in opening was to pinpoint for Your Honour the location of coop 20 to the south of coop 19.

Your Honour, the plaintiff's case about why coop 20 is important is this: Coop 20 is the most recently logged coop of the Brown Mountain old growth forest. It's immediately adjacent and south of one of the coupes that is in issue in this proceeding and its harvesting and burning has had an effect on the surrounding coupes.

For reasons, Your Honour, which will become apparent through this opening, the plaintiff's case is that logging of these forests forever changes the role and function of forest and the landscape and so logged forest which enters the forest harvesting and management process will on the plaintiff's case never be the same again and that has a great many consequences for the species who depend on it.

Coop 20, Your Honour, enables Your Honour to see the aftermath of logging in the immediate next year's and it demonstrates the following things, in our submission: it

demonstrates the consequences of the clear fell logging technique and the consequences of clear fell logging is something about which many of the plaintiff's experts express opinions for each of the species that they deal with and it's also something that Dr Meredith expresses opinions about generally in relation to critical habitat.

The second thing that coop 20 demonstrates, in our submission,, is how habitat tree prescriptions operate on the ground, that is what the actual implementation of these prescriptions produces.

The third thing that coop 20 demonstrates, in our submission, is how differently what is retained in a coop will function after logging from how it functioned before logging. So those trees that are kept, it will be the plaintiff's case, are now isolated, they stand, in our submission, Your Honour, a bit like little skyscrapers in the middle of a cleared field, so they don't operate any more as part of an eco system, so that if one's to look at this from the perspective of a yellow-bellied glider or a greater glider, there they are effectively sitting with a spotlight on them in their tree hollow standing in the middle of an empty field with no coverage around them.

So whether gliders firstly will like that enough to continue to use those trees, Your Honour, the evidence will show that the powerful owls and the sooty owls might well like that. It would be much easier for them to identify their prey perhaps but difficult for the quolls to use those trees to climb up and investigate hollows because they then become themselves exposed when they're looking for their prey. More difficult for gliders to move between trees. All those kinds of consequences, in

our submission, can be vividly demonstrated by examining what has happened in coop 20.

In terms of species on the ground, Your Honour, the way that a species like a potoroo is able to move from one coop to another or move across a coop like coop 20 is vividly demonstrated and the ease with which foxes and other introduced predators can hunt those areas compared with the unlogged areas is also evident. Your Honour, it will be our case that it is fairly self-evident that some of the species like the frogs really, firstly, aren't going to survive a burn and, secondly, aren't going to be able to use coupes like that at all.

Coop 20 still provides, in our submission, Your Honour, a good example of what a coop looks like after it's burnt, although it has greened up and it's certainly not as dramatic as it was in some of the photos that Your Honour will see in evidence.

I want to turn now, Your Honour, to say a little bit about each of the species and we have some pictures, Your Honour, to assist. But before I go to each of those species, I want to take Your Honour to the process of listing under the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act, so if I can ask Your Honour to have to hand the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act, Your Honour will see in s.10 of the Act that "The Governor and Council on the recommendation of the Minister ... (reads) ... or appeal the whole or the part of the list". Sub-section (3) makes as a precondition to the minister making that recommendation that there be a recommendation from a committee and "the committee" is defined in s.3 to mean the scientific advisory committee which is established under s.8 of the

	-
Act	

May I just draw Your Honour's attention to the membership of that committee, s.8. It's an advisory body and under s.8(3) it has some government scientific officers, some scientists on the staff of the Victorian Education Institution and scientists independent of government. Each must be knowledgeable in the sciences of flora or fauna conservation and ecology. Then sub-s.(5) sets about trying to ensure a representative kind of membership on that committee. Sub-section (8) of s.8 provides for issues about conflict of interest.

The listing, if one goes back to s.10, Your Honour, sub-s.(7) of s.10 requires the minister only to have regard to nature conservation matters. Now the eligibility criterion - the broad eligibility criterion is set out in s.11(1). "The taxon or the community must be in a demonstrable state of decline ... (reads) ... which are likely to result in extinction".

So, each of the species that Your Honour will be hearing about in this proceeding, aside from the gliders — and I'll come to those in a moment — has been found to be in a demonstrable state of decline which is likely to result in extinction or to be significantly prone to future threats which are likely to resolve in extinction.

May I also draw Your Honour's attention while we're there to sub-s.(3) of s.11 because this scheme provides not only for the identification of threatened species but the threatening processes for such species and a potentially threatening process is defined as one that, if in the absence of appropriate management, poses or has the potential to pose a significant threat for the survival or

evolutionary development of a range of flora or fauna.

That, Your Honour, is a matter that is of some

considerable relevance in this proceeding because the loss

of hollow-bearing trees has been listed under this Act as

a potentially threatening process.

The regulations to the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act provide a little more detail about the criteria which are to be applied. I'll just give Your Honour the reference and we'll have to hand those up to Your Honour on a separate occasion. It's Regulation 5 and Schedule 1 to the Flora and Fauna Guarantee regulations and what they set out, Your Honour, is the different levels of threat and Your Honour will see in a lot of the evidence that these species are classified, some of these species are classified at different levels - vulnerable, threatened, endangered and, essentially, the higher the classification the closer to extinction the species is said to be.

The listings for these species can be found in the agreed book of documents, Your Honour, starting at p.526 and I'll take Your Honour to that just to highlight the ones that are there and then I'm proposing to provide Your Honour with an updated list to be inserted into the agreed book. If I can take Your Honour first to the list that is there, that's in Vol.2 at p.526.

Your Honour will see there in the gazettal in 2000 of the list and if I can take Your Honour to the following pages just to highlight the species that are present in this version: p.529, dasyurus maculatus (tiger quoll) and euasticus diversus (Orbost crayfish). Your Honour will have to pardon my Latin.

31 HIS HONOUR: You'd expect maculatus to be a spotted quoll.

- 1 MS MORTIMER: It is, Your Honour, and that's why it's name is
- 2 now spot-tail quoll. Tiger quoll I think was a bit of an
- 3 anachronism or a colloquialism.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Then the next one we're looking at?
- 5 MS MORTIMER: The next one is on p.530 about halfway down.
- 6 HIS HONOUR: We had two on this page, did we?
- 7 MS MORTIMER: Yes, I'm sorry, Your Honour. We had euasticus
- 8 diversus.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: Yes, and then the -
- 10 MS MORTIMER: So just the two, the quoll and the crayfish on
- 11 p.529.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I see.
- 13 MS MORTIMER: Then on p.530 about halfway down the page,
- heleioporus australiacus, the giant burrowing frog. Then
- on the next page, Your Honour, p.531 just before halfway
- down the page, ninox strenua (powerful owl). Then on the
- next page, p.532, Your Honour, up the top, second entry
- potorous longipes (long-footed potoroo). Then on p.533,
- 19 Your Honour, a few entries above the heading
- "communities", tyto tenibricosa (sooty owl).
- 21 Then, Your Honour, on p.534 Schedule 3 the list of
- 22 potentially threatening processes, about halfway down:
- 23 loss of hollow-bearing trees from Victorian native
- forests. Your Honour, the ones that are not on there are
- not on there because they were not listed as at June 2000
- and they have been listed since that date. Now rather
- 27 than provide Your Honour with because they were all then
- individually gazetted after that rather than as a
- 29 compilation. What we propose to do is to provide Your
- 30 Honour with the DSE threatened list as at July 2009 which
- 31 contains all the species, but not the threatened process.

- 1 Your Honour, what I have had my learned junior do is mark
- 2 up for Your Honour on that all the other species.
- 3 HIS HONOUR: I see.
- 4 MS MORTIMER: So the two that are going to be in here that are
- 5 not otherwise in there are the Square-Tailed Kite which is
- on p.3 about four or five entries down.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 8 MS MORTIMER: And the large Brown Tree Frog which is on p.4.
- 9 Victoria Little Johnny, if Your Honour pleases. That is
- 10 the list, Your Honour, and now if I might just open with a
- little bit about each of the species and I am going to do
- this mostly by way of the action statements Your Honour,
- because they provide a convenient spot to see a
- 14 description.
- If I can turn first to the Long-Footed Potoroo which
- Your Honour will find the action statement for in the
- agreed book of documents at p.542.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 19 MS MORTIMER: Perhaps if I can just ask Your Honour to go first
- to p.535 to explain what has happened with the action
- 21 statements for this species. There was an action
- 22 statement for the Long-Footed Potoroo originally which is
- 23 the version that appears at p.535 of the agreed book of
- documents in 2003. That was made in 2003 and the action
- 25 statement was significantly and materially revised and
- 26 published in 2009 and that is the one that is currently
- applicable and that starts at p.542.
- 28 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 29 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour will see the description there of the
- 30 Long-Footed Potoroo, that it is a medium sized terrestrial
- 31 rat kangaroo of the marsupial family, that it is not

particularly big and it is not particularly heavy, 2.2 kilograms, average weight of 1.7. Morphologically similar to the Long-Nosed Potoroo but with distinguishing features – and this may become an issue, Your Honour, in some of the evidence. It is larger, it has a longer thicker tail and proportionally longer hind feet. So that is how one sets about telling the difference between those two kinds of potoroos.

Discovered, Your Honour will see in the next paragraph, relatively recently with two dead individuals near Orbost, sent for identification.

Its distribution, if Your Honour looks at the next sub-heading. There are two sub-populations, one in East Gippsland and the other straddling the Great Dividing Range.

The evidence will show that the population that is straddling the Great Dividing Range is the one that has been more closely studied than the one in East Gippsland. Population in East Gippsland, the action statement says is known from more than 60 separate sites within an area of approximately 160,000 hectares and the action statement gives the boundaries of that.

Your Honour will see a record has been found at Cape Conron which suggests it has perhaps a slightly larger range in East Gippsland than previously thought.

Over the page at p.543, in terms of the statement about the geographic range, it is then qualified in the action statement. Within these broad areas of distribution potoroos are likely to occur in only a relatively small proportion of the area, and then there is then some reference to some modellings that are done and the action

statement says that most of them occur within our State forests.

The statement then goes on to describe the habitat of the potoroo and sets out that its primary habitat is wet forest, damp forest and Riparian Forest on the Great Dividing Range and in East Gippsland, and the kind of preferred sites, Your Honour can see at the bottom of the first column. Preferred sites appear to be characterised by sheltered aspects with moist soils supporting a mixed species over-storey and a dense under-storey and that the animals shelter in this dense vegetation during the day and forage in adjacent areas at night. Your Honour will see some good examples of that kind of dense under-storey on the view on Wednesday.

There is then a reference to them having been detected in eight year regrowth and no doubt that is an aspect of the evidence that the defendants will emphasise, Your Honour. What they feed out is then set out under Life History and Ecology. They are fungivores. Your Honour will see in the next paragraph they give birth to a single young, so this is a species with, in that sense, a pretty limited reproductive capacity. The statement then goes on a little further down that paragraph to say, "The dispersal behaviour of the Long-Footed Potoroo is very poorly understood."

Your Honour that is a matter that might come to some prominence when we get to the evidence about the records of the potoroo and what if anything one can deduce from the finding of a particular potoroo ten years ago and what that record tells you about whether that is an area in which it is still likely to be found or what it tells you

1 about how it might use that area.

The range of the potoroo Your Honour, that is another important issue down the bottom of p.543. "Long-Footed Potoroos have home ranges from about 14 hectares to more than 100 hectares."

Over the page, Your Honour, the conservation status of the species, p.544, "Endangered under the Commonwealth Classification and Threatened in Victoria under the FFD Act."

There is then some discussion about the threats to the Long-Footed Potoroo and one of the things that Your Honour will see from the evidence is that some — this is one of the species, Your Honour, and there is a big range in terms of the species that Your Honour will be dealing with in this case — in the intensity with which they have been studied and the potoroo is called a features species under the East Gippsland Forest Management Plan.

It is a pretty cute looking creature Your Honour, and it has been the subject of a bit of research, whereas Your Honour will hear from the evidence that some of the other species don't even have an action statement and really haven't been the subject of much study whatsoever. But the potoroo is not doing, when one engages in a comparative exercise, too badly in terms of it being studied.

The threatening processes that are identified are that it is vulnerable to predation by foxes. Your Honour can see that at the bottom of the first paragraph under 'threats' and it is also vulnerable to habitat disturbance as a result of timber harvesting and fire.

One of the things that is noted in the next

paragraph, Your Honour, is that there has been some difficulty finding it again in areas where it had previously been detected and the action statement makes it clear that there could be a couple of explanations for that. It could have disappeared or it could be something to do with the survey techniques.

At the top of p.544, Your Honour, the primary habitat requirements of the Long-Footed Potoroos appear to be a diverse and abundant supply of fungi and dense cover in a forested environment to provide shelter and protection from predators.

Climate change gets a mention Your Honour, perhaps as Your Honour might see often in these documents with a - we just don't know about that.

There is then a summary of the major threats to the potoroo as predation, habitat destruction and degradation from timber harvesting and fire.

Your Honour, if I just might pause there to make some opening remarks about predation because it may be said against the plaintiff, predation has got nothing to do with logging and the evidence that Your Honour will hear, in our submission, is that it has a lot to do with it and the evidence is clear, in our submission, that the making of roads, the construction of sneak tracks and the logging of forests, the clearing of under-storey all make predation by foxes much easier and the foxes prefer to be able to run along a track, run through cleared areas, that is their preferred mode of travel, and they do not travel well through densely under-storied forest so that predation remains - although one can say that the principal act responsible for the killing of the potoroo

- in that sense is the fox, it will be the plaintiff's case
- 2 that timber harvesting facilitates that predation.
- 3 Your Honour, if I can turn to say something about
- 4 the next species, the next species is the spot-tailed
- 5 quoll. Your Honour will find the action statement for that
- at p.555 of the book.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: I see that after predation there is a discussion
- 8 of habitat disturbance, timber harvesting in particular.
- 9 MS MORTIMER: Yes there is, Your Honour. Perhaps I ought to
- say something now about the structure of the way I am
- going to open this to you.
- 12 HIS HONOUR: There is an express statement, "Timber Harvesting
- and Road Increased Access for Predators such as Foxes."
- 14 MS MORTIMER: Yes there is, Your Honour, there is. Your Honour,
- I am proposing to come back in some detail to the action
- statements when I am dealing again with the issues about
- the obligations we say they impose and the breaches of
- 18 those obligations.
- 19 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 20 MS MORTIMER: If we can go now to the quoll at p.555. Your
- 21 Honour, the quoll is the largest marsupial carnivore on
- 22 mainland Australia and grows up weighs up to 7 kg for
- the males and for the females up to 4 kg.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 25 MS MORTIMER: They are, as their name suggests, spotted, and no
- other quoll species in Australia has a spotted tail so
- 27 that is one of their distinctive characteristics. They
- have a nice big jaw because they are a carnivore, Your
- Honour.
- They occur in Eastern Australia and Tasmania though
- 31 the Tasmanian species is said to be quite genetically

- distinct.
- 2 HIS HONOUR: Yes.

3 MS MORTIMER: Turn the page to p.556 and the action statement

4 says that "At the time of European settlement the spot-

5 tailed quoll had a broad distribution in southern,

6 central, eastern and north-eastern Victoria at a range of

7 altitudes."

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

Your Honour, in our submission the evidence will show that the quoll is one of the species that has been the most badly affected by the coming of European settlement in Victoria of the ones that we are dealing with and the action statement says that it "survives now in discrete areas, Eastern Victoria, South Gippsland, the Otway Ranges and South Western Victoria" but the evidence Your Honour will hear is that the populations in the Otways and in Mount Eccles are functionally extinct, and Your Honour will hear some evidence about what that means so that in that sense this action statement, on the evidence Your Honour will hear, is out of date about the distribution of quoll populations and the evidence Your Honour will hear is that the East Gippsland population is really now one of the last remaining functional populations for the quoll.

The habitat requirements of the quoll, Your Honour, down the bottom under the heading "habitat" obviously needs forests, moves over large distances, and structural complexity of the habitat appears to be particularly important, again with the species favouring areas with a dense over-storey and under-storey, abundant rocks, large hollow bearing trees, rocky escarpments and fallen logs for den sites.

1	The other feature of this species, Your Honour,
2	which will become important in the evidence, is that areas
3	with a high density of mammal prey items are also
4	utilised. That is at the top of the second column on
5	p.556.
6	HIS HONOUR: Yes.
7	MS MORTIMER: The next comment Your Honour, in fact from one of
8	our witnesses' papers, "Prey densities and den site
9	availability appear to be key features influencing habitat
10	utilisation."
11	The range - and this is where we can see, Your
12	Honour, that these are animals that need large ranges -
13	two to four and a half thousand hectares for males, 600 to
14	1200 hectares for females with the size of the home range
15	related to habitat quality.
16	What Your Honour will hear from Mr Belcher is that
17	you may have several males within a habitat range
18	overlapping with the habitat of one female.
19	Your Honour will see in the next paragraph a little
20	about their diet. They are carnivorous, they are
21	scavengers. About halfway down that paragraph, Your
22	Honour, they are arborial and terrestrial. They are an
23	adept climber, hunting for prey in tree hollows and
24	canopies. One of the features is, Your Honour, that they
25	hunt during the day, especially for possums in tree
26	hollows.
27	While the poor little things are sleeping, gliders
28	or possums, up comes the quoll into the hollows and it
29	sees what it can get. They have, Your Honour - and this
30	is another issue that will become important in the
31	evidence - they have a relatively short life span with a

maximum five to six years observed in captivity and perhaps only four to five years in the wild.

So when we come, Your Honour, to the evidence about the usefulness of records from 20 years ago that is the kind of evidence that in our submission will demonstrate that historic records may be of little use in predicting where quolls are now.

Your Honour, if one turns over the page to the second paragraph on p.557 the action statement says,
"There are no population or density estimates for quolls available. Your Honour will hear some population estimates from Dr Belcher and they are in the hundreds, Your Honour. That is across Victoria.

There are two features that this action statement identifies, that they are a species that occurs at low density, this is in that second paragraph, and they require very large areas because of the size of the home range.

Down the bottom, Your Honour, under the heading "Decline and Threats" Your Honour will see that they probably were always a rare species and there has been a decline of at least 50 per cent in the range of the species due to clearing and fragmentation of habitat, direct or indirect persecution, competition from introduced predators, fire and disease.

It will be our evidence that there will be three key threats for the quoll. They are habitat clearing, the fragmentation of habitat and the disturbance of habitat.

The recommendation from the scientific advisory committee for this species, Your Honour, can be found on p.558 about halfway down the page. Some of the action

1	statements, Your Honour, include this material and some
2	don't but this one helpfully does. There were three
3	factors for the quoll that the advisory committee
4	identified. It's in a demonstrable state of decline which
5	is likely to result in extinction. It is significantly
6	prone to future threats which are likely to result in
7	extinction and it is very rare in terms of abundance or
8	distribution.

Your Honour, also on that page under the heading "habitat clearing fragmentation disturbance", that's where we get the three key threats and they are explained in the rest of those paragraphs in the action statement. I won't take Your Honour through all of that detail but this is another species, Your Honour, if one turns the page at 559, just above the heading "poison baiting programs" where the action statement itself recognises that timber harvesting and forest management activities may also increase accessibility of forest by foxes.

Your Honour, I note the time, is it convenient to
have a short break? Does Your Honour propose to sit to
4.30?

22 HIS HONOUR: Thereabouts, yes. Yes, we'll take a short break.

23 (Short adjournment.)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

24 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, I think I had finished all I needed 25 to say about the quoll and I'm moving now to the Orbost 26 spiny crayfish which Your Honour will find the action 2.7 statement for this species at p.566 of the agreed book of 28 documents. A couple of things that I just want to point 29 out about this. It's one of several small freshwater crayfish and Your Honour will see in the first paragraph 30 31 of the action statement that one of the ways in which this crayfish is to be distinguished from the other euasticus is by the arrangement number and location of various spines on the exoskeleton and so that when Your Honour comes to hear from Dr McCormack about his identification of the new species as against this species Your Honour will hear some evidence about all these little spines and markings on the crayfish's body.

Your Honour will see that this is also a species that's been relevantly recently discovered or located in 1956 and then rediscovered in 1991 on the basis of a single specimen in a creek and then some records in Ellery Creek. Your Honour, Ellery Creek is one of the creeks in the Bodribb River catchment. Your Honour will see a little further down in that paragraph that although there was one other population found in a place called yandown Creek, that's the only known site outside the Brodribb River catchment. So that this is a species, in our submission, Your Honour, the evidence will show, that is very localised and even more so when we get to the new species.

Over the page, Your Honour, at p.567 up the top, the action statement talks about the diet of the crayfish, primarily aquatic and semi-aquatic vegetation and invertebrates and fungi and bacteria. It's current conservation status is rare and Your Honour will see that this action statement in the middle of that page reproduces the opinion of the scientific advisory committee, that it is significantly prone to future threats which are likely to result in extinction and very rare in terms of abundance or distribution. Your Honour, the evidence about this species will particularly focus on

its rareness in terms of abundance and distribution.

We then go on to the threatening processes, Your Honour, in particular - because again that the tie between its limited distribution and its habitat the action statement at the top of the second column on 567 identifies localised catastrophic events as a particular including sediment pulses, so that again any species like this with a very small range; a localised catastrophic event is dangerous for it. Roading, Your Honour, will see in that paragraph can cause an increase in sedimentation and a decline in water quality and Your Honour will hear from Dr McCormack that the water quality for these species is a particularly important matter and that is what the action statement in the next part goes on to say.

It starts with the proposition that an incremental decline in habitat quality may result in the decline of the species and then deals in the following paragraph with timber harvesting, in particular, and looking at the flow-on effects of timber harvesting, that what alters in the area is the run-off, the flow characteristics of the stream, the amounts of organic debris in the stream and temperature regimes. Your Honour will hear quite a bit of evidence from Dr McCormack about the importance of temperature to these particular species.

Your Honour, I think that's all I want to say by way of introduction to this species and I want to turn now to the new species of crayfish which on the plaintiff's case is not only a significant discovery in an ecological sense but a significant issue in terms of protection in this proceeding.

Your Honour will be aware that Dr Rob McCormack in

his report discusses that while he was conducting surveys for the purpose of this proceeding about the Orbost spiny crayfish, it is his opinion that he has discovered what he believes to be an entirely new species of crayfish in the Brown Mountain Creek. In his opinion, the species is quite distinct from the Orbost spiny crayfish and quite distinct from some of the more common listed species.

Your Honour, the evidence will show that it is yet to be officially recognised but Dr McCormack has applied to the relevant authorities for it to be called the Brown Mountain crayfish. It's his opinion that this new species has an extremely limited distribution and Your Honour will be able to see that from his report and that it is his opinion that it will clearly be eligible for listing under the FFG Act on that basis alone because, as far as he can see, it is going to be - it's at present confined to Brown Mountain Creek and to a particular area in Brown Mountain Creek.

Your Honour will hear that in his opinion the 100 metre buffer zone that is proposed will definitely provide the species with some protection but he has real concerns about the matters that the action statement raises which are matters about changes in temperature, additional sedimentation and any changes to water quality and his evidence will show to Your Honour, in our submission, that this is a species that is very susceptible to quite small changes in water temperature and quite small changes in water quality. It will be the plaintiff's case that logging in coupes 19 and 15 and 27, in particular, is likely to cause such changes.

I want to turn now to the giant burrowing frog and

Your Honour will find the action statement for that at
p.600 of the book. Your Honour, the giant burrowing frog
is, as its name suggests, a large robust species with a
maximum body length of 100 millimetres. It has a
particular and distinctive call which is set out in the
action statement and I won't attempt to imitate that, Your
Honour, but that is one of the ways by which it is
identified.

The action statement makes it clear that records of this species are confined to the coastal slopes of the Great Dividing Range below a particular altitude, below 1,000 metres, and there's some opinion that the species may be composed of two disjunct populations. All Victorian records of the species come from eucalypt forest and the species appears to use small flowing streams as breeding sites. Records of several individuals substantial distances from water indicate that the species uses a wide range of forested environments and it appears to be absent from clear farmland and maybe dependent on aspects of forest habitat for feeding, sheltering and suitable breeding sites.

So, Your Honour, one of the things that the evidence will show about this species is it may be, Your Honour, a natural tendency to think - to associate frogs with water courses and to think that if you protect a stream you're going to be protecting the habitat of frog species but the evidence will show with this species and even more with the large brown tree frog that that is not the case.

Over the page at p.601 the reasons for the conservation status of this species have to do with the numbers that have been recorded and its distribution. So,

2.7

only 26 adult frogs, one juvenile frog and three groups of tadpoles have been recorded. So, numerically, extremely small and extremely scattered and, despite quite a bit of surveying, Your Honour can see in that next paragraph, only nine individuals recorded during these surveys so the species is naturally rare.

Again, down the bottom of that column, Your Honour, on p.601, some discussion about its use of flowing streams for breeding sites and, again, just like the crayfish, Your Honour, a recognition that the fluctuations of stream conditions caused by things like timber harvesting and roading may not be within the tolerance of these tadpoles resulting in reduced recruitment to the adult population.

Your Honour, may I pause there to use that as an example of another aspect about the assessment, the impact of activities on threatened species that the plaintiff will emphasise and it's this: that the protection of these species is not simply about protecting existing individuals, it's about ensuring that you create and maintain an environment in which they can successfully breed. So the breeding success will depend on the habitat quality and it will also depend on the existence of a sufficient population to ensure that breeding success. that protection of individuals doesn't necessarily achieve very much at all: it might achieve something but that the aim of habitat protection and good quality habitat protection, maintaining good quality habitat protection is to ensure breeding success because one of the key things about these species is that their populations are not just to be maintained but they are to be recovered. So you have to take actions that's likely to increase their

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

breeding success, not decrease it.

The conservation objectives are then set out. One of the things that Your Honour will hear from Dr Gillespie and which is noted in this action statement at the top of the second column of p.601 is that third point there, that there is really not very much understanding at the present time about the distribution, habitat, abundance, breeding biology and general ecology of this frog. Not a lot is known so some of the detail about how these species will react when their environment has changed is completely unknown. That is the kind of thing in our submission Your Honour that will engage the precautionary principle and I will turn to that later.

Your Honour, the next species to deal with is the other frog, the large brown tree frog. Your Honour, there is no action statement for this species but there is a summary of its ecology in Dr Gillespie's report and I am taking what I say from that.

It belongs to a group of tree frog species found in South Eastern Australia. It is not as big as the giant burrowing frog and Your Honour can see from the picture it is light brown or cream and it has got a broad undivided dark strip down its back. Its range is along the eastern side of the Great Dividing Range at 1000 metres above sea level. It also, Your Honour, is an uncommon species with only 279 records in New South Wales and 79 in Victoria and Dr Gillespie's opinion is that the distribution of this species is disjunct and little is known about its fecundity.

One of the features of this species is that it is not found in streams. Where it is found, based on the

records at least, and particularly the observations of tadpoles, breeding sites in stationary water bodies which form throughout the forest so that when you are looking at these coupes, Your Honour, it will be part of our case that the buffer and that area is not likely to be protecting the habitat for this species because the habitat for this species is likely to be throughout the coupes in small pools of water.

One of the things that this species has in common with the giant burrowing frog and most amphibians, it will be the plaintiff's case, is that it tolerates a relatively narrow temperature range, that it is very sensitive to levels of environmental moisture so that the drying of the forest which can occur even when adjacent coupes are logged but certainly which occurs when a coop is logged, and the burning of a forest would be completely toxic to any individual species but also would prevent recolonisation because the forest would just be much drier so that this is a population that in our submission is particularly unlikely to re-colonise logged forest.

Turn to the next species, Your Honour, which is Sooty Owl and Your Honour will find the action statement for that at p.571 of the agreed documents. The Sooty Owl is the smallest of the two owls that we are dealing with and Your Honour can see what it looks like from the picture.

It occurs in Australia and New Guinea and Your

Honour can see about halfway down the second paragraph on
p.571 of the action statement that there is a subpopulation eastern Victoria that occurs from near

Melbourne east and north-east of the border with New South

Wales and within that the Sooty Owl is numerically rare, one to 9 individuals per 100 square kilometres.

Over the page, Your Honour, some of the particular features of this species. Page 572 the first full paragraph. "It is sedentary, it is strongly territorial. It occupies a large home range. It roosts in dense shrubby vegetation, tree hollows, caves, ledges and crevices on rock faces and it nests in large tree hollows and occasionally in caves. It may breed every year but it has a variable breeding season. It lays only one clutch of one or two eggs." Again Your Honour, this is the kind of species that with disturbance is likely to have a significant effect on its breeding success.

It feeds on arborial mammals such as the sugar glider and the ring-tailed possum and Your Honour will hear evidence that in the area with which we are concerned it particularly feeds on greater gliders and on yellow-belled gliders.

Your Honour will see further down on that page that it occurs in what is called closed forests, rain forests, tall open forests and some open forests so it does occur across a range of classes and in East Gippsland in the first dot point Your Honour can see the range of forests.

Down the bottom of that page Your Honour, it favours wetter sites in gullies and mid slopes with many dead hollow-bearing trees and with under-storey and middle-storey plants such as the wattles and tree ferns.

Your Honour there is an estimate of its population size in Eastern Victoria. The second column on p.572 about a quarter of the way up from the bottom. Population size in Eastern Victoria may be at the order of 400 to 900

breeding pairs. So again not a species whose population in this area is numerically particularly large.

Over the page, p.573. It is presently listed as "threatened" in Victoria. That is our understanding of the position and you can see from the part dealing with its conservation status that the action statement says that "Clearing and logging of its habitat has probably removed or modified a significant proportion of its former habitat".

The action statement then goes on to talk about one of the other things that happens when you start to isolate populations — about halfway down. "The probable population decline has left the sooty owl more susceptible to catastrophic events such as extensive wildfire. That the habitat may now be fragmented and that exposes the sooty owl to threats of reduced dispersal opportunity and genetic isolation."

The statement goes on to say, "It is estimated that hollows suitable for owls do not form even in the fastest growing eucalypts until they are at least 150 to 200 years of age."

Your Honour, just pausing there. That fact about the age of trees in which suitable hollows form will assume some considerable importance for a number of the species in this case because the evidence will show that that kind of period of time well exceeds logging rotations so once a forest becomes part of a harvesting system it does not get left long enough again for hollows to form that are useful to any of these species. Your Honour can see down the bottom of that paragraph the determination of the Scientific Advisory Committee about the two features for

- 1 sooty owl.
- I turn now to the other owl in this proceeding, the
- 3 Powerful Owl. Your Honour will find the action statement
- 4 for that at p.589.
- 5 Your Honour, this is a very large bird, 60 to 65
- 6 centimetres, the largest owl found in Australia. It has a
- 7 particularly characteristic cry and Your Honour will see
- 8 from the evidence that one of the key survey techniques
- 9 used to try and find these owls is what is called a call-
- 10 back where a recording is played. Because they are so
- 11 territorial a recording is played and they call back and
- 12 it is from that call-back that they are able to be
- identified.
- 14 HIS HONOUR: This is the only one of these species that I have
- seen personally, but I have.
- 16 MS MORTIMER: You are step ahead of me, Your Honour. Never
- know what might happen on Wednesday though.
- 18 HIS HONOUR: You are more likely to see - -
- 19 MS MORTIMER: Not a nocturnal species, I accept that.
- 20 HIS HONOUR: You can sometimes walk up pretty close in the
- 21 daytime. I think they are nocturnal in part but in the
- daytime they will sit in the tree and if you are lucky
- 23 enough to find them you can walk up to them almost.
- 24 MS MORTIMER: They certainly are a species with, as Your Honour
- can see from the little map on that page with the dots on
- it on p.589, a species with a relatively wide distribution
- across the State of Victoria, and again a species that the
- action statement records on the second page about halfway
- down, "preys mainly on arborial or semi-arborial
- 30 marsupials and the gliders get a bit of a thrashing from
- 31 this species as well."

The reasons for its conservation status, the second column on p.590 of the action statement, Your Honour.

"Again principally loss of habitat. Past permanent loss of habitat has likely led to an overall reduction in numbers and fragmentation of the original continuous population into a series of small residual populations each of which is at risk of becoming locally extinct."

This is another species, Your Honour, where there may be small clusters of them in areas of forest where there has been fragmentation around that forest and what that gives rise to is this phenomenon of local extinction.

Again Your Honour, a hollow-dependent species for its roosting and breeding and Your Honour can see from the figures that are given in that next paragraph in the action statement some interesting figures, that of the 21 nest trees observed all were senescent, no, about 50 per cent were senescent and all ranged between 350 and 500 years of age so the lack of suitably large hollows is a particular limiting factor for this species, and that paragraph goes on to note the other particular limiting factor which is prey density and I will deal with that a little bit and give Your Honour some figures about that when I get to deal with the gliders which is right now Your Honour.

I am going to turn to give Your Honour a bit of a brief description about each of the gliders starting with the greater glider. Obviously Your Honour, no action statement for these creatures because they are not endangered. They feature in this case, Your Honour, because of their importance as prey to three of the species that we are dealing with, the quoll and two owls.

The greater glider, Your Honour, is a cat sized nocturnal arborial gliding marsupial. It comes from the same family as the ring-tailed possum and it occurs in wet tall forests and tall or productive dry forests on the coast of Eastern Australia and it occurs all the way up to Cape York.

During the day it sleeps in its hollows, again in large old trees, again in hollows that don't form until a tree is about 120 years of age. Feeding at night. Now this is a species that feeds on eucalypts and prefers younger eucalypt leaves. There is no dispute on the evidence in this case and it is admitted by VicForests that this species occurs in high densities in the Brown Mountain area and that is no coincidence because the evidence is they are most abundant in old growth forest.

Each individual may use between four and 18 dens in its home range, so again — initial impressions can be misleading. An uninformed person looking at this kind of evidence might think, maybe you have to leave one or two trees up, but an individual will use between four and 18 hollows in its home range. That is one glider, so in terms of the number of trees and the number of hollows one only has to start doing the maths to figure out how many hollows are needed in a particular area, and they need to change those dens, the evidence will show, for a number of reasons, because the dense can get a bit loaded up with parasites, so they need to change to free themselves from that. They need to reduce predation risks by moving around and they need to keep accessing young eucalypt leaves in different areas.

Your Honour, this species is the preferred prey for

both the sooty owl and the powerful owl and it is about 51 per cent of the biomass of the spot-tailed quoll. It is about 70 per cent of the diet of the powerful owl and about 43 per cent of the diet of the female sooty owl.

Your Honour the evidence will show a pair of powerful owls may take up to one glider a night so that four to 500 gliders per annum would be required to sustain a pair of powerful and sooty owls in their home range.

If I can turn now to the yellow-bellied glider. This is a larger glider and this has a different feeding pattern, Your Honour. It specialises in fat and nectar feeding. It ranges from the South Australian Victorian border right up to Cape York. It also sleeps in family groups in tree hollows, again the same kinds of tree hollows but when it comes out to feed it is feeding on flowering eucalypts, insects and sap so that when nectar is not available sap is an important source of it, and when we go on the view on Wednesday into Coop 15 Your Honour will see a yellow-bellied glider sap tree on the view. There is a photo of that same sap tree in Dr Smith's report.

This is a species that although it is not listed in Victoria it is listed in South Australia as endangered and it is listed in New South Wales as vulnerable but this is a species again where the old growth forests in East Gippsland are a stronghold for it and the evidence shows in our submission that perhaps it is the core population within Australia for this species.

The species occurs at low densities and has large home ranges up to 20 to 85 hectares and as I have said, they are also prey for quolls, sooty owls and powerful

1 owls.

Your Honour if I turn to the next species which is the last species which is the square-tailed kite. There is no action statement for this species and so the facts that I am opening with are drawn from Dr Deavis' report.

It is a medium-sized brown and reddish soaring hawk with a prominent white cap and Your Honour can see pale windows in the outer wings and prominent banded wing tips. It characteristically sails low over and around the tree canopy with its wingtip feathers widely separated.

Square-tailed kites breed as solitary well dispersed pairs in defended territories and they build a stick nest in a living tree within a forest or woodland, so this is a species that requires dense forest in order to build its nest, live trees not dead trees.

They breed annually. They lay a single clutch of two to three eggs in the spring. So, again, fairly limited breeding possibilities and the average for fecundity, according to Dr Devis, is less than one young per pair raised per year. Your Honour, it's listed as "threatened" under the FFG Act and the reason for its listing and its main threat now becoming a familiar catch cry, Your Honour, is "loss of habitat for foraging and nesting" and "decline in habitat quality through clearing".

If I can just to wrap up on the listing issues take Your Honour very briefly to the action statement for the loss of hollow-bearing trees which is at p.579. Your Honour, of course, this is a peculiarly Australian problem because, as the action statement recognises, it's a peculiar feature of many Australian fauna that they are

hollow dependent and dependent on hollows that form only after trees are a certain age and need a variety of sizes.

Your Honour sees down the bottom of that first page on 579 a brief description of hollow-bearing trees, as they're usually the oldest and largest members of their communities and that often have values beyond the hollows they contain that cannot be provided by younger trees by virtue of their age, size, form, root development and ability to sequester resources from surrounding vegetation and the action statement goes on to describe all those values. The action statement then also recognises that when they do eventually collapse or fall they then provide a different kind of resource for different kinds of fauna on the forest floor used by ground-dwelling animals and Your Honour will hear, for example, that that's the kind of circumstance in which spot-tailed quolls make use of large trees which have fallen.

Over the page, Your Honour, at p.580 Your Honour will see the importance of these kinds of trees. Hollows are considered essential for 16 species of mammal, 44 species of bird and the action statement goes on to describe the kinds of uses to which hollows are put: shelter, roosting and nesting. It then goes on to set out at the bottom of that first column on p.580 that there are several studies that suggest a shortage of hollows is limiting the abundance of some fauna species. So, that's one of the reasons it's described as a threatening process.

Your Honour, with that quick tour around the evidence of how the species got listed and why and what their features are, I want to turn now to take Your Honour

through — or to start to take Your Honour through some aspects of the legislative scheme but before I do that — no, Your Honour, I might go straight to that, if Your Honour pleases. So, may I ask Your Honour to have to hand four pieces of legislation and two of them are not particularly important and I'll start with those. The Conservation Forests and Land Act, Your Honour, if I might just start with that. That's got some relevance but not a huge amount, so can I ask Your Honour to turn to that.

Section 4 of that Act, Your Honour, describes the object of the Act to set up a legislative framework to enable the minister to be an effective conserver of the state's lands, waters, flora and fauna and that's the object on which we focus for the purposes of this proceeding. Your Honour will see – and this is the first indication of it – that the emphasis in the language in all these parts of the legislative scheme and the subordinate instruments is on outcomes. It's not, in our submission, on aspirations. This language, the object is for the minister to be an effective conserver, i.e. conduct to designed to produce a particular outcome.

In our submission, that kind of focus reflects an important difference between the two sides of this case and our case, we will submit, focuses on actual protection for actual members of the species and places where they are or are likely to be and VicForests' case focuses on planning, often desktop planning based on records, often records that are out of date or whose currency is unknown, protecting at a desktop level habitat which may or may not be where the species now occurs. We will submit the plaintiff's focus is a more consistent fit with the

language used throughout the statutory scheme.

What is the key tool, Your Honour, that the Act looks at to ensure that the minister can become an effective conserver of the state's lands? Well, under this, Your Honour, it's the promulgation of codes of practice and Your Honour will see that in ss.31 through to 38. So, by s.31 of this Act the minister may make codes of practice which specifies standards and procedures for the carrying out of any of the objects or purposes of a relevant law and Your Honour will remember that I took you to the definition of "relevant law" and to Schedule 1 which picks up the references to the three other pieces of legislation with which we're concerned: the FFG Act, the Sustainable Timbers Act and the Forests Act.

I draw Your Honour's attention now to s.39. Section 39 is an important section. Compliance with the code of practice is not required unless the code of practice is incorporated in or adopted by a relevant law; a condition specified in an authority given under a relevant law. So that, for example, Your Honour will see when we get through the scheme that the code of practice is picked up in the sustainable Forest Timbers Act, so that's how 39A will work in relation to the Forest Practices Code and the Forest Practices Code is picked up in the allocation order and the timber release plan and they are conditions specified in an authority given under a relevant law.

One of the things that this demonstrates, in our submission, Your Honour, which is important is that a code of practice could be promulgated by the minister and a deliberate choice made not to make it enforceable. It could sit there as a guidance or some kind of aspiration

- or some kind of aim but what s.39 makes clear is that
- there must be a conscious legislative or executive
- 3 election to make it enforceable.
- 4 HIS HONOUR: Yes, if that election is made you say it becomes
- 5 enforceable.
- 6 MS MORTIMER: Enforceable, that's so, Your Honour. One of the
- 7 weaknesses we would point to in the defendant's argument
- 8 about this is the presence of s.39.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: I see by s.5 that the Crown is bound the Crown
- in all its capacities so far as the legislative power of
- 11 parliament permits.
- 12 MS MORTIMER: Yes, Your Honour, that is so.
- Your Honour, those are the only provisions of that
- 14 piece of legislation I want to draw Your Honour's
- 15 attention to at the moment and the other less important
- piece of legislation in terms of volume is the Forests
- 17 Act. Really, the only matter I want to draw Your Honour's
- attention to in the Forests Act is s.22 because s.22 of
- 19 the Forests Act is the source of power in the
- 20 Secretary of the Department of Sustainability and
- 21 Environment to make management plans. There's a little
- disconformity, Your Honour, in the language but it's not
- anything that, as I understand it, makes any difference as
- 24 between the parties. Section 22 talks about working plans
- but it's very clear that, for example, the East Gippsland
- 26 Forest Management Plan is specifically identified to be a
- working plan under s.22.
- 28 HIS HONOUR: Yes, I see.
- 29 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, if I might go now in a little bit
- 30 more detail to the Flora and Fauna Guarantee Act because
- 31 this is one of the pieces of legislation, apart from the

listing process that I've taken Your Honour to, upon which we rely for sources of obligations. Your Honour will see from the purpose in s.1 of this Act - the purpose is to establish a legal and administrative structure to enable and promote the conservation of Victoria's native flora and fauna and to provide for a choice of procedures which can be used to manage conservation and threatening processes.

The Act then, after the definitions, Your Honour, sets out a series of objectives and the point we make about the language in s.4(1) of those objectives is that they are outcome focused. There are a number of points, Your Honour. Firstly, that they are outcome focused and that they talk about outcomes for these species. They talk about survival, they talk about flourishing, retaining their potential for evolutionary development in the wild, they talk about ensuring genetic diversity. These are factual outcomes, in our submission.

The second matter that we point to about the language in that provision is that it's very strong and the word "guarantee", Your Honour, is a very strong word to be used, in our submission, in a statute of this kind and it's not only used in the objectives, it's used in the title of the Act but it is the strongest of language and that, in our submission, counts towards, when one looks at sub-s.(2) of s.4, characterising that as an enforceable obligation with real content. That is reinforced, Your Honour, by the language that I took Your Honour to in the purpose provision, s.1, where it is said that the purpose of the Act is establish a legal structure to promote conservation.

What sub-s.(2) of s.4 does, Your Honour, is to
impose a mandatory duty on certain persons to do certain
things. The duty is imposed on a public authority and
that is defined in s.3 to be a body established for a
public purpose by or under any Act. It is our submission
that VicForests is a public authority by reason of s.14 of
the State-owned Enterprises Act and the order in council
to which I have taken Your Honour, the content of which
demonstrates that it is established for a public purpose
and I don't understand that to be a contentious
proposition as far as the defendants are concerned but no
doubt my learned friend will inform Your Honour of that.

The Secretary to the Department of Sustainability and Environment, in our submission, is also a public authority for the purposes of s.4 and that proposition, Your Honour, can be made good by looking back at the sections to which I took Your Honour in the Conservation Forests and Land Act that was s.6 and s.10.

Your Honour, it is plain that there may be many statutory bodies that are in theory captured by that obligation in s.4(2) but, in our submission, that doesn't diminish its enforceability, it simply means that it will bite hard on some public authorities like VicForests and it will not bite hard, for example, on a public authority that's running a library. It doesn't diminish the nature of the obligation but it does affect its content in that sense, in our submission.

Your Honour, we would submit that when one looks at, for example, the Secretary to the Department of Sustainability and Environment, one of the ways that the Secretary performs its functions under this is to make

2.7

those action statements so that the making of action statements is part of the Secretary being administered so as to have regard to flora and fauna conservation and management objectives in this Act.

So there is a clear link, in our submission, between conduct, for example, that the Secretary has to engage in under this Act and the performance of that statutory duty. Similarly, the making of a critical habitat determination under s.20 and the making of a conservation order under s.26 are also matters that go to satisfying the performance of that obligation.

Your Honour, the plaintiff's case in relation to VicForests and this obligation is this: that in the management of timber resources in state forests including in its decision making about logging and the carrying out of that logging, VicForests must be administered in a way which has regard to those conservation objectives in s.4. So when Your Honour comes to assess how VicForests has planned and plans to carry out its logging of these forests in Brown Mountain we submit Your Honour must measure what they have done and what they propose to do to see, for example, whether they have paid any attention to the fact of whether their conduct will guarantee that the quoll survives and flourishes and retains its potential for evolutionary development; have they paid regard, for example, to whether their conduct will conserve present populations of sooty owls or powerful owls in East Gippsland? Have they paid attention to how their conduct will manage the maintenance rather than the loss of enough hollow-bearing trees to ensure active and flourishing populations of gliders both for the gliders' own sake and

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

because of their status as prey, so this is in our submission not an empty exercise. It is an exercise in looking at both the past conduct of VicForests and the future conduct of VicForests to see whether in reality the way that it is planning and carrying out its timber harvesting is designed to achieve those objectives or not.

Your Honour, the defendant says, as we understand it, that those provisions have no enforceable content and in our submission that is a remarkable proposition even at a construction level when one looks at the language that is used in s.4. That is a proposition which deprives the word "guarantee" of any meaningful work to do and although it is a proposition that operates on what is clearly a statutory the effect of the defendant's submission is that it can disregard that duty with no legal consequences for it, and we submit that Your Honour should not prefer a construction that achieves that outcome, given the purpose and subject matter of this Act.

The other parts of this legislative scheme that

I just want to draw your attention to are the parts that

deal with the action statements and that is at s.19. The

responsibility for the preparation of action statements

falls on Secretary to the department and it is a mandatory

obligation, supposedly to be performed as soon as possible

after the taxon is listed.

It still hasn't been fulfilled in relation to the Kite which was listed in 2000 and it still hasn't been fulfilled in relation to the Large Brown Tree Frog which was listed in 2003.

The significance of that, Your Honour, in our submission - apart from being a reasonably significant

1	neglect of a statutory duty - is that the obligations in
2	the Forest Practices Code about compliance with action
3	statements can't be triggered in relation to those two
4	species because there isn't one, so Your Honour will not
5	see as part of the plaintiff's case on the Kite and the
6	Large Brown Tree Frog a failure to comply with an action
7	statement because that is not available because of the
8	non-performance of this duty.

Your Honour, the legislative scheme in this Act does not attach any consequence to persons in relation to action statements and the way that action statements are enforced on the ground in timber harvesting is through the Code of Practice and perhaps I will just draw Your Honour's attention to that right now so that we can see the link immediately and Your Honour will find that in the agreed book of documents Vol.1 at p.130.

Your Honour, I am going to come back to the Code of Practice in some detail but I will show you this link right now. If Your Honour looks at p.130 under the heading "Mandatory actions" the second mandatory action - - -

HIS HONOUR: Page 130?

- 23 MS MORTIMER: Of the agreed book of documents, yes. Vol. 1 Your
- Honour.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 25 HIS HONOUR: I see.
- 26 MS MORTIMER: Under the heading "Mandatory actions" second
- 27 paragraph. "Forest Management Planning," so that addresses
- 28 that is the first stage at which it must be addressed -
- 29 "and all forestry operations must comply with measures
- 30 specified in the relevant flora and fauna guarantee action
- 31 statements.

- 1 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 2 MS MORTIMER: And flora and fauna guarantee orders. That phrase
- 3 "Flora and fauna guarantee orders" is not a statutory
- 4 phrase but we understand it to refer to interim
- 5 conservation orders under the Act. That is not something
- 6 that Your Honour needs particularly to worry about in this
- 7 case. It is the first part of it that matters.
- 8 Your Honour, just going back to s.19 to look at what
- 9 action statements are supposed to contain and Your Honour
- 10 has already seen some examples of them, they must do two
- 11 things, s.19(2).
- 12 It is a good page, that, Your Honour, I am going to
- 13 come back to it. It has got a lot on it.
- 14 HIS HONOUR: Yes.
- 15 MS MORTIMER: Section 19(2) "The action statement must do two
- things. It has to set out what has been done to conserve
- and manage the taxon and it must set out what is intended
- to be done. The provision then says it may include
- information on what needs to be done so the Act makes a
- 20 distinction between what is intended to be done under the
- 21 action statement and what needs to be done and the latter
- 22 perhaps being a broader category than intention, is only
- 23 discretionary.
- 24 But our point about that language and that structure
- is this Your Honour that once an action statement elects
- 26 to identify what needs to be done then it becomes just as
- 27 binding as all the rest of it so again it is a bit like
- compliance with the code of practice. You could have an
- ineffective scheme, in our submission, if you elected not
- 30 to set out what needs to be done but once you do elect to
- 31 set out what needs to be done then that part of the action

statement becomes just as binding as the other.

The next power that it is important note in part because of some of the evidence in this case in the factual history is s.20. Section 20 gives a power to the Secretary to determine that the whole or any part or parts of the habitat of any taxon or a community is critical to the survival of that taxon or community, and Your Honour it was a request by Environment East Gippsland to the Secretary in early 2009 under s.20 which provided the foundation for an argument that was made to the Secretary about the critical nature of the habitat in this area to a number of species and it is that which was supported by Dr Meredith's critical habitat report.

The chronology which I will hand up before we rise today, the chronology shows that while that application by environment East Gippsland supported by the report of Dr Charles Meredith, while that was under consideration, that was what EEG was told, the State Government and VicForests announced these forests would be logged within weeks. So in the face of a detailed report from a well-recognised expert in this State, the State Government, in our submission, cast aside a moratorium on logging and while telling Environment East Gippsland that it was considering this report, endorsed VicForests' decision to log the same area and VicForests made it very clear it was going to do so.

We submit it is simply not possible in that context to suggest that VicForests determination to log 15 and 19 immediately in August 2009 is consistent with its obligations under s.4(2) of the FFG Act, let alone the other aspects of this legislative scheme to which I will

1	come. It is, Your Honour, a stubborn refusal to
2	acknowledge the force of Dr Meredith's report and the
3	force of the evidence about this area.

Your Honour, as I open this case today environment

East Gippsland is still waiting for the Secretary to tell

it what the Secretary has decided about whether this area

is critical habitat or not, and of course Your Honour,

without the injunction granted last August, whether it was

critical habitat would not have mattered.

The next piece of legislation that I need to turn to and the most important piece perhaps - equally important piece with the FFG Act is the Sustainable Forests Timber Act (2004).

Your Honour I note the time. I am not going to get
through what I want to say about this Act by way of
opening in five or 10 minutes, so if this is a convenient
time, Your Honour.

- 18 HIS HONOUR: Yes it is.
- 19 MS MORTIMER: Before we adjourn my learned friend has kindly
 20 reminded me that I had spoken about a chronology and
 21 I might just hand that up before we adjourn.

Your Honour, I have also been reminded that there is
a matter of some importance to my client about whether it
is able to publish the transcript of proceedings in this
matter on the internet but that is a matter I will raise
with my learned friend perhaps and I might address Your
Honour on that.

- 28 HIS HONOUR: I think access is being sought by the Government
 29 Solicitor as well, or by some other arm of government so
 30 I will - -
- 31 MS MORTIMER: We will take it up and we will see whether we

- 1 have any difficulties with that and we will raise it with
- 2 Your Honour in the morning.
- 3 HIS HONOUR: Yes. I don't have any real difficulty with that
- 4 sort of publication in principle. It may be that it
- 5 shouldn't be so published until the parties have had some
- 6 opportunity to check its accuracy. In other words it
- shouldn't be directly streamed out, as it were.
- 8 MS MORTIMER: Podcast or something.
- 9 HIS HONOUR: Because it may be that there are matters of
- 10 terminology and other aspects of evidence in this case
- 11 that are of some difficulty to the transcript writers. It
- is not a case in the ordinary run of the mill from their
- point of view and even if the technology is functioning
- 14 well, which I would expect it to, it may be a case in
- which they are presented with some challenges, but having
- said that perhaps it is a matter you can discuss with
- 17 Mr Waller. Pardon me a moment.
- 18 The Victorian Government Solicitors sought access
- for an unspecified arm of government so it would seem that
- there is interest in it. I must say, subject to fair
- 21 sharing of costs considerations and things of that nature
- I don't myself have a problem with the dissemination of
- the transcript.
- 24 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, again with that condition neither do
- we, and of course the basis on which certainly my client
- 26 would publish it would be firstly once it had been
- determined to be accurate by the parties, and secondly in
- its entirety.
- 29 HIS HONOUR: Yes. I think you can discuss that with Mr Waller.
- I think provided there is some period of delay then it is
- 31 unlikely to be something that causes problems I think we

start from the point that justice is intended to be open,
these courts are intended to operate in an open fashion
and there will be people who are interested in this
proceeding who can't easily come to the Sale courthouse
and in those circumstances I don't have a great problem
with publication of the transcript provided the parties
have each had an opportunity to consider their position,
and provided some sort of protocol is worked out whereby
there is some opportunity to correct things.

It won't be necessary to correct the position of commas, the structure of sentences, that sort of thing, but what ought to be carefully addressed are any mistakes, any slips in effect which materially change the meaning of the transcript.

15 MS MORTIMER: We accept that, Your Honour.

2.7

that if you get people - in one sense the sensible thing
is to give the jury the transcript but in another sense
unless it has been checked fairly carefully it may be
taken to say something that it is not meant to say and
every so often words such as "not" are omitted, which
reverse the meaning of particular statements.

I wouldn't have a particular fear that that sort of thing is going to happen in this case but I think that some of the scientific nomenclature and the like might be difficult and that in fact suggests to me that it would be useful to provide the transcript writers with the reports of each of the expert witnesses so that when you call them in fact the transcript writers have those reports because that will mean that will mean that they then have a reference in terms of difficult scientific terms, local

- 1 names, things of that nature, and it is likely to make
- things easier and it may be that the transcript operator
- 3 who is in court at present could have some discussions
- 4 with the instructing solicitors on each side as to what
- 5 sort of material is most useful and how it might most
- 6 usefully be provided, because I think particularly if the
- 7 transcript is to be published, the quid pro quo is that
- 8 you make it easy for the transcript writer.
- 9 MS MORTIMER: We have no difficulty with that, Your Honour,
- whatsoever.
- 11 HIS HONOUR: Unless there is anything further I think we will
- 12 adjourn until ten o'clock tomorrow morning.
- 13 MS MORTIMER: Your Honour, we're happy to resume at ten, but
- perhaps for the remainder of the trial when we're into
- evidence if we could start at 10.30, Your Honour.
- 16 HIS HONOUR: Yes, if you both agree that's going to make it
- easier for people to get here.
- 18 MS MORTIMER: It is partly that and it's partly because we're
- 19 all working in hotel rooms and things, Your Honour, and it
- just means that there is a little bit more time needed
- 21 sometimes in the morning.
- 22 HIS HONOUR: You might be working in hotel rooms but I can tell
- 23 you that your average travel time to court, I'm prepared
- 24 to wager, is going to be significantly less than it would
- be in town.
- 26 MS MORTIMER: I accept that, Your Honour.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: If that's what you would like I'm happy to
- 28 accommodate you.
- 29 MS MORTIMER: Obviously, Your Honour, if we start to run short
- of time we'll contract it back to ten o'clock, if it looks
- 31 like that's going to be - -

- 1 HIS HONOUR: Yes, and we can be reasonably flexible. If you
- want to start at 10.30 tomorrow I'm happy to start at
- 3 10.30. Is that what you prefer?
- 4 MS MORTIMER: It is important, Your Honour, we make sure we
- finish our opening so I think it's sensible to start at
- 6 ten tomorrow.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Yes, and then because we're looking to have the
- 8 view.
- 9 MS MORTIMER: All day Wednesday, Your Honour, we'll be occupied
- 10 by the view.
- 11 HIS HONOUR: Yes. What time would you anticipate we should
- meet in Orbost on that day?
- 13 MS MORTIMER: I think the proposal is 11 a.m. at the moment,
- Your Honour.
- 15 HIS HONOUR: I see.
- 16 MS MORTIMER: It is about a three-hour drive.
- 17 HIS HONOUR: From here?
- 18 MS MORTIMER: Yes, and then, Your Honour, it's about an hour
- and a half from Orbost. We will give you, Your Honour, a
- schedule tomorrow rather than whispering at the Bar table
- 21 at the moment. It is quite a long drive, that's a fair
- 22 statement, Your Honour, and it's quite a way from Orbost,
- at least an hour, perhaps a bit more.
- 24 HIS HONOUR: I think we will proceed on the basis that we will
- expect to leave Sale at about eight in the morning.
- 26 MS MORTIMER: Yes, I think that would be safe, Your Honour.
- 27 HIS HONOUR: And from then on we will be in your hands.
- Tomorrow we will sit from ten on. We will have the view
- whether or not Mr Waller is entirely finished his opening.
- 30 I will trust him to have opened those matters that are
- 31 most relevant to the view, and then from Thursday onwards

- we will sit from 10.30 but as I have said previously, if
- we need to sit a little later than the time we normally
- 3 would sit in town, I'm prepared to do that to accommodate
- 4 particular witnesses, provided the accommodation is not
- 5 too extended.
- 6 MS MORTIMER: We appreciate that, Your Honour. Thank you.
- 7 HIS HONOUR: Unless there is anything else, we will adjourn
- 8 until ten o'clock tomorrow.
- 9 ADJOURNED UNTIL TUESDAY 2 MARCH 2010